



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 4232/2025

1. Laxmi Jat W/o Chena Ram, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Bandar Sindari, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)
2. Chhaggan Choudhary S/o Suja Ram, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Bandar Sindari, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)
3. Gora Devi W/o Chhagan Choudhary, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Bandar Sindari, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)
4. Bhag Chand S/o Chhagan Choudhary, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Bandar Sindari, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)
5. Santosh Devi W/o Bhag Chand, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Roopangarh, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)
6. Pooja D/o Chhagan Choudhary, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Roopangarh, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)
7. Lalchand S/o Chhagan Choudhary, R/o Jato Ka Mohala Buharu, Bandar Sindari, Ajmer District Ajmer (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan through P.P.
2. Chaina Ram S/o Laxman Ram Bardwal, R/o Chak Pinglod, Roopangarh, Ajmer District Ajmer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Abhishek Dadhich
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Amit Punia, PP
For Complainant(s) : Mr. Pradeep Kumar

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN
Order

28/01/2026

1. By way of this criminal misc. petition under Section 528 of BNSS, the accused-petitioners have approached this Court with a prayer to quash the FIR No.201/2024 registered at Police Station Roopangarh, District Ajmer (Rajasthan) for offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 379, 384 & 120B of IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on account of matrimonial dispute, present FIR was lodged against the petitioner No.1 and her family members by the respondent No.2



who is husband of petitioner No.1. Counsel submits that now, parties have settled their dispute amicably and a decree of divorce has also been passed on dated 05.07.2025. It is further submitted that due to compromise, further proceedings in the aforesaid FIR are fruitless.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant do not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid and considering the submissions made on behalf of the respective parties; the material made available for perusal; considering the fact that parties have resolved their dispute amicably and also finding that the present case is wholly covered by the principle of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr-reported in 2012 Cr.L.J. (SC) 4934** and in the case of **State of Haryana & Ors. Vs. Choudhary Bhajan Lal & Ors. [AIR 1992 SC 604]** and as such, the aforesaid FIR is liable to be quashed in view of compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the above, this Criminal Misc. Petition is allowed and the FIR No.201/2024 registered at Police Station Roopangarh, District Ajmer (Rajasthan) along with subsequent proceedings, is quashed and set aside. Consequence to follow.

6. Stay application and pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

LALIT MOHAN /38